



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/787,480	02/26/2004	Josef Chalupper	P04,0041	7000
7590 12/07/2004			EXAMINER	
SCHIFF HARDIN LLP			LE, HUYEN D	
Patent Department 6600 Sears Tower			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
233 South Wacker Drive			2643	
Chicago, IL 60606			DATE MAILED: 12/07/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/787,480	CHALUPPER ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		HUYEN D. LE	2643				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
THE - External after - If the control of the contro	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLEMALING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repuly period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period into the reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statustication in the set of extended period for reply will, by statustication in the set of extended period for reply will, by statustication in the set of extended period for reply will, by statustication in the set of extended period for reply will, by statustication in the set of extended period for reply will, by statustication in the set of extended period for reply will, by statustication in the set of extended period for reply will, by statustication in the set of extended period for reply will, by statustication in the set of extended period for reply will, by statustication in the set of extended period for reply will, by statustication in the set of extended period for reply in the set of extended period for reply will, by statustication in the set of extended period for reply will, by statustication in the set of extended period for reply will be set of extended period for repl	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. 8 133)				
Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	'					
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Thi	s action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	ion of Claims						
4)⊠ 5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	awn from consideration.					
	ion Papers	·					
	The specification is objected to by the Examin	er					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
•	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachmen	t(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) 2) Paper No(s)/Mail Date,							
3) 🔲 Inforr	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 r No(s)/Mail Date		ite atent Application (PTO-152)				

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-5, 7-8 and 10-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Brennan et al. (U.S. patent 6,236,731).

Regarding claims 1, 5 and 7-8, Brennan et al. teaches an apparatus and a method for automatic amplification adjustment in a hearing aid device that comprises transducing (10) an acoustic input signal into an electrical signal, determining a speech signal level and a noise signal level in a plurality of frequency bands (col. 12, lines 26-64 and see figure 1), and determining parameters for automatic adjustment of an amplification of the electrical signal depending on the speech level, the noise signal level and frequency of the electrical signal dynamically (18, 28, 32, col. 10, lines 13-28) as claimed (figure 1).

Regarding claims 2-4, Brennan et al. teaches the determining parameters step that comprises applying a loudness model (the noise signal level), a speech comprehensibility model, and further includes considering the total signal level when performing the automatic adjustment of the amplification (col. 12, lines 26-64 and see the text for figure 1).

Regarding claim 10, Brennan et al. teaches a synthesis device (30) having one or more inputs that is connected respectively to one or more outputs of the parameter determining device as claimed (18, figure 1).

Regarding claim 11, Brennan et al. teaches one or more multipliers (28) as claimed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

4. Claims 6 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brennan et al. (U.S. patent 6,236,731).

Regarding claims 6 and 9, Brennan does not specifically teach that the determining of the parameters includes ultilizing individual audiometric data of a hearing aid user. However, Brennan does not restrict to any audio signals or any type of audiometric data in hearing aids.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to provide any type of audiometic data for the Brennan system such as an individual audiometric of a hearing aid user for greater application.

Application/Control Number: 10/787,480

Art Unit: 2643

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure. Holube (U.S. patent 6,198,830) teaches a method and a circuit for the amplification

of input signals of a hearing aid.

Brennan et al. (U.S. patent 6,606,391) teaches a filterbank structure and method for

filtering and separating an information signal into different bands.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to HUYEN D. LE whose telephone number is (703) 305-4844. The

examiner can normally be reached on 9:30AM-6:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, CURTIS KUNTZ can be reached on (703) 305-4708. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

HL

December 6, 2004

PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 4